

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

1					
	THE PARTY	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE			2420	
09/186,902	11/06/1998	DAVID JOHN PUNG	6937	2420	

7590 01/02/2002

DARRYL C LITTLE THE PROCTER & GAMBLE COMPANY 11520 REED HARTMAN HIGHWAY CINCINNATI, OH 45241

EXAM	INER
TORRES VELAZQ	UEZ, NORCA LIZ
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

1771 DATE MAILED: 01/02/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			11-2	1 1
	Application No.	1	icant(s)	
	09/186,902	PUN	G ET AL.	
a (Comman)	Examiner	Art U	Jnit	
Office Action Summary		quez 1771		
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover she	et with the corres	pondence addre	355
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	F		OM.	
Period for Reply	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE	: 3 MONTH(S) Fr	COIVI	
THE MAILING DATE Of The Mail of the provisions of 37 CFR 1. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a relative of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuary reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ply within the statutory minimund will apply and will expire SIX (ate, cause the application to become date of this communication,	of thirty (30) days will b	e considered timely. ailing date of this com	munication.
Status	5 October 2001 .			
1) Responsive to communication (e) 12b) 2b)	This action is non-fina	.	audian as to the	merits is
3) Since this application is in condition for alloclosed in accordance with the practice und			O.G. 213.	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
Disposition of Claims	ne application.			
1 44 Od io/org Dengillu III II	drawn from considerat	ion.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/arc with	ulawii ii si			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8 and 11-21</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	nd/or election requirer	nent.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to: 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	110/01 0/00			
Application Papers	i.a.r			
The specification is objected to by the Exa	accepted or b) object	ed to by the Exam	niner.	
The drawing(s) filed on Is/ale. a)L	4000	d in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a) .
Applicant may not request that any objection 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)☐ approv	ed b)∐ disappro	ved by the Exam	iiner.
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on if approved, corrected drawings are required.	d in reply to this Office ac	ction.		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by t				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	foreign priority under 3	35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).	
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	ioreign in			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None or.	te have been ret	reived.		
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * C) ☐ Notice of the priority doc 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority doc	cuments have been re	ceived in Applicat	tion No	
2. Certified copies of the priority doc	unients have a	have been receiv	ed in this Natio	onal Stage
3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internation of the	onal Bureau (PCT Rul	e 17.2(a)).	red.	
* See the attached detailed Office action for	or a list of the certified	COPIES HOLTECON	(e) (to a provis	ional application).
* See the attached detailed Office action for 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	domestic priority unde	135 0.5.0. 9 118	aceived	
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language.	uage provisional applic	ation has been re	20 and/or 121.	
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign langu	domestic priority unde	30 0.3.0. 88 1		
	•		(pto_413) Par	oer No(s)
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-1449) Page 15 (PTO-1449) Page 15 (PTO-1449) Page 15 (PTO-1449)		Interview Summ Notice of Inform Other:	al Patent Application	on (PTO-152)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (17) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Page				Part of Paper No. 11

Application/Control Number: 09/186,902

Art Unit: 1771

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-8 and 11-14 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. The rejection of these claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JAMES et al. in view of BLIEZNER et al. and PREGOZEN is withdrawn in view of Applicants arguments that the JAMES et al. reference fails to teach or suggest the use of their hydroentangled nonwoven fabric in a personal cleansing wipe.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-8, 11-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over MANNING et al. (US 4755421) in view of GORDON et al. (US 5763332) and PREGOZEN (US 5141803).

MANNING et al. discloses a wiper including a nonwoven web made of a special blend of cellulosic fibers held together only by friction and naturally occurring hydrogen bonding. The nonwoven fibrous web is produced by subjecting a wet-laid web of cellulosic fibers to hydroentanglement. (Abstract) The reference further teaches that wet wipes usually are stacked and wrapped in a liquid-tight package while maintained in a liquid preservative composition containing an antimicrobial agent comprising about 50 to 300 percent by weight of the dry wiper weight. The wetting liquid can include water and often it will contain bactericides and other

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/186,902

Art Unit: 1771

biological control agents, as well as perfumes and *emulsifiers* to disperse those ingredients, and it may be maintained at an acid pH level to further inhibit growth of organisms over sufficiently long time periods. (Column 1, lines 45-55). Further the reference teaches that the web has a basis weight in the range of 20-90 grams per square meter. (Claim 1).

It is assumed that a nonwoven substrate that has been subjected to hydroentanglement as in the MANNING et al. reference will have a three-dimensional pattern as it is well known in the art and can be observed in the JAMES et al. reference previously disclosed in the prosecution of this case. Therefore, it is well known in the art to have a three-dimensional pattern in nonwoven webs produced by the hydroentanglement process.

Although the MANNING et al. reference discloses the use of emulsifiers in the wetting liquid of their wipe, the reference fails to specifically disclose the use of anionic surfactants.

GORDON et al. discloses wet-like cleaning wipes and teaches the use of anionic detergent surfactants. (Column 17, lines 51-52).

Since both MANNING et al. and GORDON et al. are both from the same field of endeavor, the purpose disclosed by GORDON et al. would have been recognized in the pertinent art of MANNING et al.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to modify the wipe and provide it with anionic surfactants for the purpose of improving the cleaning performance of the article when the internal polar phase of the emulsion is released as disclosed by GORDON et al. (Column 17, lines 45-47).

However, both references fail to specifically provide with the content of the other elements of the of the cleansing composition.

Application/Control Number: 09/186,902

Art Unit: 1771

PREGOZEN discloses an aqueous composition for impregnating a nonwoven wipe having a pH of from 3.5 to 4.5, and moistened wipe, impregnated with the aqueous composition. (Abstract).

PREGOZEN discloses concentrations of 0.2 to 10 weight-percent for skin moisturizers and humectants, 0.02 to 5 weight-percent for skin softeners and emollients. (Column 4, lines 40-44). The surfactant will generally be employed at a concentration of 0.02 to 10 percent by weight bases on the weight of the aqueous composition. (Column 4, lines 60-62). PREGOZEN also teaches the use of citric acid to adjust the pH of the composition. (Column 4, lines 20-26). The reference also teaches the use of a preservative system, it uses cationic biocides in the ranges of about 0.03 to about 0.24% of the aqueous composition. (Column 4, lines 9-13).

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to modify the non-woven fabric disclosed by MANNING et al. to have an aqueous cleansing composition with an acid, moisturizing agent, and an antimicrobial active for the purpose of providing consumers with a alcohol-free wipes that have antimicrobial effect. (As disclosed by PREGOZEN).

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Norca L. Torres-Velazquez whose telephone number is 703-306-5714. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 7:30-5:00 pm and alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris can be reached on 703-308-2414. The fax phone numbers for the

Application/Control Number: 09/186,902 Page 5

Art Unit: 1771

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1495.

nlt

December 27, 2001

TERREL MORRIS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700